

Summary of papers for the Regeneration Delivery Group meeting on Thursday 6 September 2007 (prepared by Matthew Symonds for resident reps)

(All Residents should get full papers for the RG meeting sent to them – let me know if you haven't.)

Jargon used

RDG: Regeneration Delivery Group

NRRF: Neighbourhood Renewal Residents' Forum

VCS: Voluntary Community Sector

SRB6: Single Regeneration Budget 6 - A regeneration funding programme, managed by BCC

Objective 2: A regeneration funding programme with European money, managed by BCC.

NM: Neighbourhood Management

NR: Neighbourhood Renewal

NDC: New Deal for Communities

LAA: Local Area Agreement

Agenda Item	Summary and Comments
Welcome, introductions and apologies	A chance to introduce and welcome new members to the RG
<p>1. Minutes of previous meeting Matters arising</p> <p><i>The chair will go through the minutes for accuracy and matters arising at the same time. Ask him to slow up if you need to.</i></p> <p>Crime Report Update</p>	<p>Items in the minutes that Reps might want to ask questions on:</p> <p>1.4 Was a written submission made for contribution to fit out of the Withywood Centre? If so who made the final decision? Were other community venues made aware they could make requests for similar funding?</p> <p>3.3 Has information regarding the Alcohol Free Pub project been circulated?</p> <p>4. The SON report on crime/NR response which was withdrawn from the last meeting at the request of residents due to inaccuracies in the report was due to come to this meeting. Why is only a verbal update tabled? How can we be assured that inaccuracies have been amended/removed?</p> <p>5.1 When are responses from the Bristol Partnership and Delivery groups on the funding cliff expected?</p> <p>There will be a verbal update on the Crime Report following the discussions at the last RG meeting</p>
2. Objective 2 under spend	<p>Although the project over committed funding on excess of £1million in anticipation that not all funding would be spent some projects have under spent worse than anticipated and so the over commitment will not be sufficient to cover the under spend.</p> <p>European rules and overspend in other parts of the south west region mean that the Bristol under spend cannot be reallocated at this stage. This means it is expected that 2.4% (£256, 000) of the total funding will not be spent.</p> <p>Some of the under spend in measure 1.3 might be avoided. The RG is being asked to give Ashy McKay delegated authority to work with Job Centre plus to try and spend any under spend on existing projects and 'quick' interventions where possible.</p>

<p>3. Neighbourhood Renewal under spend reallocation</p>	<p>After Quarter 1 (April – June) NR claims have been processed, £50,000 was reallocated as follows, Supporting Supplementary School - £20,000, Tackling Domestic Abuse in South Bristol - £14,250, The Witherwood Centre (additional refit costs) - £15, 750.</p> <p>Changes to the previously approved reallocation principles are proposed, they include, no funding for new services and no bidding opportunities, services must enhance work in NR areas and support LAA targets. Reallocation will be prioritised by theme in the following order: 1.Enjoy and Achieve, 2.Safer Communities, 3.Employment and Enterprise, 4.Health, 5.Environment.</p>
<p>4. Safer Stronger Communities Fund end of year report</p>	<p>This report is for information and updates on the following themes</p> <p>Outcome 2 - cleaner, greener public places Outcome 3 - Improve the quality of life for people in the most disadvantaged neighbourhoods Outcome 4 - Increase the capacity of local communities so that people are empowered to be involved in local decision making</p> <p>The target for Outcome 2 for 2006/07 was 39% satisfaction rate, 38% was achieved. Although slightly below target the potential margin of error (called confidence intervals) means this target has been met.</p> <p>The target for Outcome 3 for 2006/07 was 60% satisfaction rate, 57.1% was achieved. Although below target the potential margin of error (called confidence intervals) means this target has been met.</p> <p>The target for Outcome 4 for 2006/07 was 22.9% of residents who feel they can influence decisions, 22.1% was achieved. Although slightly below target the potential margin of error (called confidence intervals) means this target has been met.</p> <p>More detail on each outcome is provided in the report</p>
<p>5. Regeneration Framework</p>	<p>A briefing prepared by Voscur on the Regeneration document was sent out with a full copy of this document and notice of the NRRF meeting. Some information currently missing from the document should be available at the meeting. There will be a discussion at the RG meeting about the short-term implementation plan for this document.</p>
<p>6. Neighbourhood Management workshop update</p>	<p>The NM workshop recommended more resident involvement in the future, more proactive (instead of reactive approach) to local needs, better communication and sharing of ideas. The recommendations will influence the Bristol Partnership on Neighbourhood Governance on 25 September.</p>
<p>7. Date of next meeting</p>	<p>Next meeting of the Regeneration Group will be on Thursday 11 October 2007 10 - 12 at the Council House.</p>